

AD-A093 146

CALIFORNIA UNIV DAVIS INTERCOLLEGE DIV OF STATISTICS F/G 12/1
ON CHARACTERIZING DISCRETE SIGNALS IN ADDITIVE NOISE - A UNIFIE--ETC(U)
JUL 80 R A BOYLES, F J SAMANIEGO AFOSR-77-3180

UNCLASSIFIED

TR-15


AFOSR-TR-80-1163

NL

For
info
only



END
DATE
FILMED
! -81
DTIC




AFOSR-TR-80-1163

31
B

EVEL

AD A093146



TECHNICAL REPORT SERIES
OF THE
INTERCOLLEGE DIVISION OF STATISTICS

DTIC
ELECTE
DEC 19 1980

University of California
Davis, California 95616


80 11 08 050

On Characterizing Discrete Signals
In Additive Noise - A Unified Treatment

Russell A. Boyles and Francisco J. Samaniego

Technical Report No. 15

July 1980



This research was supported in part by the Air Force Office of
Scientific Research under the grant AFOSR - 77 - 3180.

AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (AFSC)
NOTICE OF TRANSMITTAL TO DDC
This technical report has been reviewed and is
approved for public release IAW AFR 190-12 (7b).
Distribution is unlimited.
A. D. BLOSE
Technical Information Officer

19 REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 12 AFOSR/TR-80-1163	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A093146	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) ON CHARACTERIZING DISCRETE SIGNALS IN ADDITIVE NOISE - A UNIFIED TREATMENT		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED 9 Interim
7. AUTHOR(s) 10 Russell A. Boyles and Francisco J. Samaniego		6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER 14178-15
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS University of California, Inter Division of Statistics Davis, California 95616		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) 15 AFOSR-77-3180
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Air Force Office of Scientific Research/NM Bolling AFB, Washington, DC 20332		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 16 61102F 12304A5 171A5
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE 17 July 1980
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 17
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release, distribution unlimited		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) convolution, discrete exponential families, characterization		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A characterization and classification result is established which applies to Binomial, Negative Binomial or Poisson signals in additive noise. The result unifies and generalizes three separate characterization results appearing in the recent literature.		

412 106

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A	

A characterization and classification result is established which applies to Binomial, Negative Binomial or Poisson signals in additive noise. The result unifies and generalizes three separate characterization results appearing in the recent literature.

I. INTRODUCTION.

The distributions of discrete signals in additive noise have been characterized via systems of differential equations satisfied by their probability mass functions in a series of recent papers, ([2], [3] and [4]). These papers have dealt with signal distributions belonging to various discrete exponential families, and each characterization result has roughly been in terms of equations of the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x|\theta) = c[f(x-1|\theta') - f(x|\theta')].$$

While the main results in each of these works bear a definite resemblance, the proofs have differed substantially, and the regularity required of the signal distribution has varied among the specific models studied. For example, only the characterizing result for

Pascal signals in noise [4] require the existence of moments of all orders. We have sought here to present a single theorem which identifies the commonality of these earlier results.

The present result relies on a new and general parametrization of a discrete family of distributions which includes all discrete convolutions of Binomial, Negative Binomial (Pascal) and Poisson distributions as special cases. The proof of our characterization and classification theorem differs radically from the individual proofs of the characterization results in the papers cited. Moreover, the theorem requires somewhat weaker assumptions than cumulatively contained in previous results. In particular, no moment conditions are required in the present result.

II. TWO THEOREMS.

The following notation is used in the results of this section: \mathbb{Z} for the set of all integers, and \mathcal{B} , $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{B}$, and \mathcal{P} for the binomial, negative binomial and Poisson distributions respectively.

Theorem 1. Let Z , $\{X_{n,\mu} : n \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}, \mu \in [0, +\infty)\}$ be random variables on the nonnegative integers. Assume the distribution of Z is independent of (n, μ) , and assume moreover that

$$Z = X_{0,\mu} = X_{n,0} \quad \forall n, \mu.$$

Then the differential equation

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} P(X=x|n,\mu) = P(X=x-1|n-1,\mu - \frac{\mu}{n})$$

$$- P(X=x|n-1,\mu - \frac{\mu}{n}) \quad (n \neq 0)$$

is equivalent to

$$(2) \quad X_{n,\mu} = Y_{n,\mu} + Z$$

where Z and $\{Y_{n,\mu}\}$ are independent, and

$$(3) \quad Y_{n,\mu} \sim \begin{cases} \theta(n, \frac{\mu}{n}) & \text{if } 0 < n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \mu \leq n \\ \eta\theta(-n, \frac{\mu}{\mu-n}) & \text{if } 0 > n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ P(\mu) & \text{if } n = \infty \end{cases}$$

Remark: While the case $0 < n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mu > n$ appears to be unclassified in (3) above, we note that the differential equations in (1) do not apply for this case since the parameter $\mu - \frac{\mu}{n}$ is negative and the probability functions on the right side of (1) are therefore undefined.

Proof. First we will show that the variables $Y_{n,\mu}$ defined in (3) satisfy (1). If $n = \infty$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} P(Y=x|\infty, \mu) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left\{ e^{-\mu} \mu^x / x! \right\} \\ &= (-e^{-\mu} \mu^x / x!) + (e^{-\mu} x \mu^{x-1} / x!) \\ &= (e^{-\mu} \mu^{x-1} / (x-1)!) - (e^{-\mu} \mu^x / x!) \\ &= P(Y=x-1|\infty, \mu) - P(Y=x|\infty, \mu) \end{aligned}$$

which is (1), since $\mu = \mu - 0 = \mu - \frac{\mu}{\infty}$ and $\infty = \infty - 1$.

For $0 < n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} P(Y=x|n, \mu) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left\{ \binom{n}{x} \left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^x \left(\frac{n-\mu}{n}\right)^{n-x} \right\} \\
&= \binom{n}{x} x \left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^{x-1} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left(\frac{n-\mu}{n}\right)^{n-x} + \binom{n}{x} \left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^x (n-x) \left(\frac{n-\mu}{n}\right)^{n-x-1} \left(-\frac{1}{n}\right) \\
&= \binom{n-1}{x-1} \left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^{x-1} \left(\frac{n-\mu}{n}\right)^{n-x} - \binom{n-1}{x} \left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^x \left(\frac{n-\mu}{n}\right)^{n-x-1} \\
&= \binom{n-1}{x-1} \left[\frac{\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \mu}{(n-1)} \right]^{x-1} \left[\frac{(n-1) - \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \mu}{(n-1)} \right]^{(n-1)-(x-1)} \\
&\quad - \binom{n-1}{x} \left[\frac{\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \mu}{(n-1)} \right]^x \left[\frac{(n-1) - \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \mu}{(n-1)} \right]^{(n-1)-x} \\
&= P(Y=x-1|n-1, \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \mu) - P(Y=x|n-1, \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \mu),
\end{aligned}$$

which is (1).

For $0 > n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} P(Y=x|n, \mu) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left\{ \binom{-n+x-1}{x} \left(\frac{-n}{-n+\mu}\right)^{-n} \left(\frac{\mu}{-n+\mu}\right)^x \right\} \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left\{ \binom{-n+x-1}{x} \left(\frac{\mu}{-n}\right)^x \left(\frac{\mu-n}{-n}\right)^{n-x} \right\} \\
&= \binom{-n+x-1}{x} x \left(\frac{\mu}{-n}\right)^{x-1} \left(-\frac{1}{n}\right) \left(\frac{\mu-n}{-n}\right)^{n-x} + \binom{-n+x-1}{x} \left(\frac{\mu}{-n}\right)^x (n-x) \left(\frac{\mu-n}{-n}\right)^{n-x-1} \left(-\frac{1}{n}\right) \\
&= \binom{-n+x-1}{x-1} \left(\frac{\mu}{-n}\right)^{x-1} \left(\frac{\mu-n}{-n}\right)^{n-x} - \binom{-n+x}{x} \left(\frac{\mu}{-n}\right)^x \left(\frac{\mu-n}{-n}\right)^{n-x-1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \binom{-(n-1)+(x-1)-1}{x-1} \left[\frac{(\frac{n-1}{n})_\mu}{-(n-1)} \right]^{x-1} \left[\frac{(\frac{n-1}{n})_\mu - (n-1)}{-(n-1)} \right]^{(n-1)-(x-1)}$$

$$- \binom{-(n-1)+x-1}{x} \left[\frac{(\frac{n-1}{n})_\mu}{-(n-1)} \right]^x \left[\frac{(\frac{n-1}{n})_\mu - (n-1)}{-(n-1)} \right]^{(n-1)-x}$$

$$= P(Y=x-1|n-1, (\frac{n-1}{n})_\mu) - P(Y=x|n-1, (\frac{n-1}{n})_\mu).$$

It is now easy to show that the variables $X_{n,\mu}$ defined by (2) satisfy (1), since

$$P(X=x|n,\mu) = \sum_{k=0}^x P(Z=k)P(Y=x-k|n,\mu).$$

Now assume equation (1) holds. To prove that (2) and (3) hold, we use the following result:

Lemma 1. If equation (1) holds, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad & \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \mu^k} P(X=x|n,\mu) \\ &= \frac{n(n-1)\dots(n-k+1)}{n^k} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-\ell} \binom{k}{\ell} P(X=x-\ell|n-k, (\frac{n-k}{n})_\mu) \end{aligned}$$

for $\mu \in [0, +\infty)$, $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$, $x=0,1,2,\dots$, and $k=1,2,3,\dots$.

Remarks. The sum on the right is actually a finite sum, since the summand is 0 whenever $l > \min\{x, k\}$. This follows from the definition of $\binom{k}{l}$ and the assumption that X has support on the non-negative integers. Also, for $n = \infty$ the correct expression is obtained by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Lemma 1. The proof is by induction on k . The case $k=1$ is just equation (1). Now assume (4) holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^{k+1}}{\partial \mu^{k+1}} P(X=x|n, \mu) \\ &= \frac{n(n-1)\dots(n-k+1)}{n^k} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-l} \binom{k}{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} P(X=x-l|n-k, (\frac{n-k}{n})\mu) \end{aligned}$$

which, by (1) and the chain rule,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{n(n-1)\dots(n-k+1)}{n^k} \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-l} \binom{k}{l} P(X=x-l-1|n-k-1, (\frac{n-k-1}{n})\mu) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-l} \binom{k}{l} P(X=x-l|n-k-1, (\frac{n-k-1}{n})\mu) \right\} \left(\frac{n-k}{n} \right) \\ &= \frac{n(n-1)\dots(n-k+1)(n-k)}{n^{k+1}} \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-l+1} \binom{k}{l-1} P(X=x-l|n-k-1, (\frac{n-k-1}{n})\mu) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-l+1} \binom{k}{l} P(X=x-l|n-k-1, (\frac{n-k-1}{n})\mu) \right\} \\ &= \frac{n(n-1)\dots(n-[k+1]+1)}{n^{k+1}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{(k+1)-l} \binom{k+1}{l} P(X=x-l|n-(k+1), (\frac{n-(k+1)}{n})\mu) \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (4).

Recall that for any real number r , and any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the binomial coefficient $\binom{r}{k}$ is defined by (see [1], p. 50)

$$\binom{r}{k} = \begin{cases} \frac{r(r-1)\dots(r-k+1)}{k!} & \text{if } k \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } k < 0. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2. If equation (1) holds, and $\mu < |n|$, then

$$(5) \quad P(X=x|n,\mu) = \sum_{l=0}^x P(Z=x-l) \binom{n}{l} \left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^l \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{n}\right)^{n-l} \quad \text{if } 0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$(5') \quad P(X=x|\infty,\mu) = \sum_{l=0}^x P(Z=x-l) \frac{\mu^l}{l!} e^{-\mu},$$

for $x=0,1,2,\dots$

Proof. Use Lemma 1 in the Taylor expansion for $P(X=x|n,\mu)$ about $\mu=0$:

$$(6) \quad P(X=x|n,\mu) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \mu^k} P(X=x|n,\mu) \Big|_{\mu=0} \frac{\mu^k}{k!} \\ + \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \mu^m} P(X=x|n,\mu) \Big|_{\mu=\mu_m^*} \frac{\mu^m}{m!}$$

where $0 \leq \mu_m^* \leq \mu$. When $n=\infty$, the remainder term is equal to

$$(7) \quad \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^x (-1)^{m-l} \binom{m}{l} P(X=x-l|\infty,\mu) \right\} \frac{\mu^m}{m!}.$$

Since the quantity in braces is a polynomial of degree $\leq x$ in m , expression (7) tends to 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$. When $0 < n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the remainder term is equal to 0 whenever $m > n$. When $0 > n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8) \quad \left| \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \mu^m} P(X=x|n, \mu) \right|_{\mu=\mu_m^*} \frac{\mu^m}{m!} &\leq \frac{|n(n-1)\dots(n-m+1)|}{m!} \left(\frac{\mu}{|n|}\right)^m \sum_{\ell=0}^x \binom{m}{\ell} \\
 &= \frac{(-n+m-1)!}{(-n-1)! m!} \left(\frac{\mu}{|n|}\right)^m \sum_{\ell=0}^x \binom{m}{\ell}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Stirling's approximation,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{(-n+m-1)!}{m!} &\sim \frac{(-n+m-1)^{-n+m-1+(1/2)} e^{n-m+1}}{m^{m+1/2} e^{-m}} \\
 &= (-n+m-1)^{-n-1} \left(\frac{-n+m+(1/2)}{m}\right)^{m+(1/2)} e^{n+1} \\
 &= (-n+m-1)^{-n-1} \left(1 + \frac{-n+(1/2)}{m}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{-n+(1/2)}{m}\right)^m e^{n+1}
 \end{aligned}$$

As $m \rightarrow \infty$ the product of the last three factors converges to $1 \cdot e^{-n+(1/2)} e^{n+1} = e^{3/2}$. Thus, for m sufficiently large, $(-n+m-1)!/m!$ is bounded by a polynomial in m . Since $\sum_{\ell=0}^x \binom{m}{\ell}$ is a polynomial in m , the assumption $\frac{\mu}{|n|} < 1$ forces the right-hand expression in (8) to tend to 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$. (This is the only time we use $\mu < |n|$.)

For any nonzero $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any nonnegative $\mu < |n|$, we may now write, by virtue of (4),

$$\begin{aligned}
P(X=x|n,\mu) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \mu^k} P(X=x|n,\mu) \Big|_{\mu=0} \cdot \frac{\mu^k}{k!} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^x (-1)^{k-\ell} \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{\ell} \left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^k P(Z=x-\ell) \\
&= \sum_{\ell=0}^x P(Z=x-\ell) (-1)^{\ell} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{\ell} \left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^k.
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{\ell} \left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^k &= \sum_{k=\ell}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{\ell} \left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^k \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k+\ell} \binom{k+\ell}{\ell} \left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^{k+\ell} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{\ell} \binom{n-\ell}{k} \left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^{k+\ell} \\
&= \binom{n}{\ell} \left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^{\ell} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n-\ell}{k} \left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^k.
\end{aligned}$$

Application of equation (8.7), page 51 of [1], to this latter expression yields (5). Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
P(X=x|n,\mu) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^x P(Z=x-\ell) (-1)^{k-\ell} \binom{k}{\ell} \frac{\mu^k}{k!} \\
&= \sum_{\ell=0}^x P(Z=x-\ell) \frac{1}{\ell!} \sum_{k=\ell}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-\ell} \mu^k}{(k-\ell)!} \\
&= \sum_{\ell=0}^x P(Z=x-\ell) \frac{1}{\ell!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \mu^{k+\ell}}{k!} \\
&= \sum_{\ell=0}^x P(Z=x-\ell) \frac{\mu^{\ell}}{\ell!} e^{-\mu},
\end{aligned}$$

which is (5'). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

Equation (5') identifies $X_{n,\mu}$ as a sum $Y + Z$ where Y and Z are independent and $Y \sim P(\mu)$. Assume next that $0 < n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then (5) becomes

$$P(X=x|n,\mu) = \sum_{\ell=0}^x P(Z=x-\ell) \binom{n}{\ell} \left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^\ell \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{n}\right)^{n-\ell}.$$

Although this equation was derived without using the assumption $\mu \leq n$, the right-hand side is not a probability mass function if $\mu > n$. With this restriction, the above equation identifies $X_{n,\mu}$ as a sum $Y + Z$ where Y and Z are independent and $Y \sim \beta(n, \frac{\mu}{n})$.

Finally, let $0 > n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For a fixed value of μ , consider first any n such that $\mu < |n|$. Then by (5)

$$\begin{aligned} P(X=x|n,\mu) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^x P(Z=x-\ell) \binom{n}{\ell} \left(\frac{\mu}{-n}\right)^\ell \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{-n}\right)^{-n-\ell} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^x P(Z=x-\ell) \binom{-n+\ell-1}{\ell} \left(\frac{-n}{-n+\mu}\right)^{-n} \left(\frac{\mu}{-n+\mu}\right)^\ell. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $X_{n,\mu} = Y + Z$, where Y and Z are independent and $Y \sim \eta\beta(-n, \frac{\mu}{-n+\mu})$.

To finish this case, we need to show that $X_{n,\mu}$ has the indicated form even when $\mu \geq |n|$. This is accomplished by the following result:

Lemma 3. Assume that equation (1) holds. If $X_{n,\mu} = Y + Z$ where Y and Z are independent and $Y \sim \eta\beta(-n, \frac{\mu}{-n+\mu})$, and

$n \leq -2$, then $X_{n+1,\mu} = Y' + Z$ where Y' and Z are independent and $Y' \sim \eta B(-(n+1), \frac{\mu}{-(n+1)+\mu})$.

Proof. By (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(X=x|n+1,\mu) &= C + \int \left\{ P(X=x-1|n, (\frac{n}{n+1})\mu) - P(X=x|n, (\frac{n}{n+1})\mu) \right\} d\mu \\ &= C + \sum_{l=0}^x P(Z=x-l) \binom{-(n+1)+l-1}{l} \left(\frac{-(n+1)}{-(n+1)+\mu} \right)^{-(n+1)} \left(\frac{\mu}{-(n+1)+\mu} \right) \end{aligned}$$

by hypothesis and the fact that the mass function for $Z + Y$, where $Y \sim \eta B(-(n+1), \frac{\mu}{-(n+1)+\mu})$, obeys equation (1). The value of the constant C may be found by evaluating that last equation at $\mu = 0$:

$$P(Z=x) = C + P(Z=x)$$

$\Rightarrow C = 0$. This completes the proof of the lemma and the theorem.

An alternative unifying result, containing nonstochastic as well as stochastic solutions, is as follows:

Theorem 2. Let $\{f(\cdot|n,r,\mu) : (n,r,\mu) \in \Gamma\}$ be a family of functions on the nonnegative integers, where $\Gamma = \{(n,r,\mu) : n \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 < r < +\infty, 0 \leq \mu < +\infty\}$. Define $f(x|n,r,\mu) = 0$ for $x < 0$. Assume that

$$(9) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} f(x|n,r,\mu) = \frac{|n|}{r} \left[f(x-1|n-1,r,\mu) - f(x|n-1,r,\mu) \right]$$

for $n \neq 0$. If we assume $f(x|0, r, \mu)$ and $f(x|n, r, 0)$ are functions of x alone, and assume moreover that

$$\hat{f}(x) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} f(x|0, r, \mu) = f(x|n, r, 0) \quad \forall x, r, n, \mu,$$

then

$$(10) \quad f(x|n, r, \mu) = \sum_{l=0}^x \hat{f}(x-l) \binom{n}{l} \left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma_n r}\right)^l \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{\sigma_n r}\right)^{n-l}$$

for $n \neq 0$, where $\sigma_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n > 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } n < 0 \end{cases}$. Of course, (conversely) any function of the form (10) satisfies (9).

Remarks. The function

$$g(x|n, r, \mu) = \binom{n}{x} \left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma_n r}\right)^x \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{\sigma_n r}\right)^{n-x}$$

will be a probability mass function on $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ for a certain subset of Γ . When $n > 0$ and $0 \leq \mu \leq n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(x|n, n, \mu) &= \binom{n}{x} \left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^x \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{n}\right)^{n-x} \\ &= \text{mass function of } B(n, \frac{\mu}{n}). \end{aligned}$$

When $n < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(x|n, -n, \mu) &= \binom{-n+x-1}{x} \left(\frac{-n}{-n+\mu}\right)^{-n} \left(\frac{\mu}{-n+\mu}\right)^x \\ &= \text{mass function of } \eta B(-n, \frac{\mu}{-n+\mu}). \end{aligned}$$

As $n \rightarrow \pm\infty$, in both of the above cases, we have

$$g(x|n, |n|, \mu) \rightarrow g(x|\pm\infty, +\infty, \mu) \triangleq \text{mass function of } P(\mu).$$

For the special case $r = |n|$, equation (9) becomes

$$(9') \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} f(x|n, |n|, \mu) = f(x-1|n-1, |n|, \mu) - f(x|n-1, |n|, \mu).$$

Thus, if we enlarge the parameter space to

$$\Gamma' \triangleq \Gamma \cup \{(\pm\infty, +\infty, \mu) : 0 \leq \mu < +\infty\},$$

Theorem 2 has the following

Corollary 1. The family $\{f(\cdot|n, r, \mu) : (n, r, \mu) \in \Gamma'\}$ satisfies (9') iff the following conditions hold:

- (a) $f(x|n, |n|, \mu)$ is not a probability mass function if $n > 0$ and $\mu > n$;
- (b) when $\hat{f}(x)$ is the mass function of a r.v. Z on the non-negative integers, $f(x|n, |n|, \mu)$ is the mass function of the r.v. $Y_{n, \mu} + Z$, where $Y_{n, \mu}$ and Z are independent and (3) holds.

The proof of Theorem 2 is obtained from the corresponding portion of the proof of Theorem 1 by replacing " n " by " $\sigma_n r$ " in certain appropriate places.

Sketch of Proof. Use induction on k to show that

$$\frac{\partial^k}{\partial \mu^k} f(x|n, r, \mu) = \frac{n(n-1)\dots(n-k+1)}{(\sigma_n r)^k} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-\ell} \binom{k}{\ell} f(x-\ell|n-k, r, \mu).$$

Next, show that $f(x|n, r, \mu)$ is represented by its Taylor series at $\mu = 0$, provided $n > 0$, or $n < 0$ and $\mu < -n$ (as in Lemma 2):

$$\begin{aligned} f(x|n, r, \mu) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^k}{k!} \frac{n(n-1)\dots(n-k+1)}{(\sigma_n r)^k} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-\ell} \binom{k}{\ell} \hat{f}(x-\ell) \\ &= \dots = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(x-\ell) \binom{n}{\ell} \left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma_n r}\right)^{\ell} \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{\sigma_n r}\right)^{n-\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

Now remove the restriction $\mu < -n$ when $n < 0$ by an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 3.

REFERENCES

- [1] Feller, W. (1957). An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications, Wiley: New York.
- [2] Samaniego, F. (1976). A Characterization of Convolved Poisson Distributions with Applications to Estimation. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 71, 475-479.
- [3] Samaniego, F. (1980). Maximum Likelihood Estimation for Binomially Distributed Signals in Discrete Noise. Journal of The American Statistical Association, 75, 117-121.
- [4] Samaniego, F. and Gong, G. (1980). Two Characterizations for Pascal Signals in Additive Noise. Sankhya, Series A, 41, 1-13.